

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/657,386	09/08/2000	Toshiaki Yoshihara	1100.64726	3309	
24978	7590 09/08/2003	•			
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN 300 S WACKER DR 25TH FLOOR			EXAMINER		
			. AKKAPEDDI, PRASAD R		
CHICAGO, IL 60606					
,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2871		
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2003	DATE MAILED: 09/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			<del></del>		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(,C		
Advisory Action	09/657,386	YOSHIHARA ET AL.	,		
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Prasad R Akkapeddi	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence addi	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 18 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a nal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in ondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the feet of the first in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate of the final (	opriate extension Office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT	「place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			ļ		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .			•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	roved by the Examir	ner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer					
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amended claim1 now reads that a quantity of charge is injected into a liquid crystal element 'corresponding to a pixel, which narrows the claim to a single pixel. Whereas, previously the charge could broadly be interpreted as corresponding to the entire liquid crystal element corresponding to all pixels.

9/3/03

TOANTON
PRIMARY EXAMINER